Available online at http://currentagriculturetrends.vitalbiotech.org/

Curr. Agri.Tren.: e- Newsletter, (2024) 3(11), 1-5



Article ID: 347

# **BT** Cotton: A Revolutionary Agricultural Advancement

# Sonakshi<sup>1</sup> and Jatin Badwal<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Plant Pathology, CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar- 125004 <sup>2</sup>Department of Agriculture, Maharishi Markandeshwar (Deemed to be University) Mullana, Ambala-133207, Haryana



**Article History** Received: 10. 11.2024 Revised: 16. 11.2024 Accepted: 20. 11.2024

This article is published under the terms of the <u>Creative Commons</u> <u>Attribution License 4.0</u>.

## **INTRODUCTION**

BT cotton, a genetically modified (GM) crop, has transformed the way cotton is produced worldwide. Developed to combat pests, particularly the destructive cotton bollworm, BT cotton has been at the center of agricultural innovation since the 1990s. By incorporating a gene from the bacterium *Bacillus thuringiensis* (hence the "BT" in BT cotton), the crop is engineered to produce a toxin that kills specific pests. This modification has led to reduced pesticide usage, increased yields, and improved cotton quality. However, the widespread adoption of BT cotton has sparked debates on its environmental, economic, and health impacts. This article provides a comprehensive overview of BT cotton, exploring its science, benefits, challenges, and the ongoing debates surrounding its use.

### What is BT Cotton?

BT cotton is a variety of cotton genetically engineered to produce a protein toxic to certain insects. The *Bacillus thuringiensis* bacterium, which occurs naturally in soil, produces a protein that when ingested by pests, causes damage to their digestive systems, leading to their death. This protein, known as the Cry protein, is harmless to humans, animals, and beneficial insects. The gene responsible for producing this protein is inserted into the cotton's DNA, effectively making the cotton plant its own pesticide (James, 2011).

There are various strains of BT cotton, developed to target different pests. Initially, the cotton was designed primarily to combat the cotton bollworm, but over time, additional strains have been created to resist other insects, such as the pink bollworm and rootworms. These modifications have made BT cotton a powerful tool in integrated pest management strategies (Schuster *et al.*, 2006).



## The Science Behind BT Cotton:

The creation of BT cotton involves biotechnology, which merges plant genetics with microbial technology. A gene from *Bacillus thuringiensis*, which codes for the production of the Cry toxin, is inserted into the cotton plant using methods such as Agrobacterium-mediated transformation or particle bombardment (Firoz *et al.*, 2013). The result is a cotton plant that produces the insecticidal Cry protein, which kills pests when consumed.

The Cry protein is toxic to the digestive system of certain insect pests, especially the cotton bollworm. However, the protein has been shown to be non-toxic to humans, animals, and most beneficial insects. The specificity of the protein is one of the key reasons why BT cotton is considered more environmentally friendly than traditional chemical pesticides (Nap *et al.*, 2003). Unlike synthetic insecticides, which may kill a broad range of organisms, BT cotton targets specific pests while leaving other species unaffected.

#### **Benefits of BT Cotton**

The adoption of BT cotton has led to several benefits in cotton farming, including environmental, economic, and agronomic improvements.

# **1. Reduced Pesticide Use**

One of the most significant advantages of BT cotton is its potential to reduce the need pesticides. for chemical Before the introduction of BT cotton, cotton farmers faced severe pest infestations, leading to extensive pesticide use. These chemicals, while effective in pest control, have been linked to numerous environmental issues, non-target including poisoning the of organisms, contamination of water resources, and the development of pesticide-resistant pests (Carroll et al., 2003). With BT cotton, the plant itself produces an insecticide, decreasing the need for additional chemical applications. Studies have shown that the adoption of BT cotton has resulted in a significant reduction in pesticide use, which

benefits both the environment and the health of farm workers (Huang *et al.*, 2008).

# 2. Increased Yields

BT cotton has been associated with increased cotton yields due to its built-in protection against pests. Bollworms and other pests can cause severe damage to cotton plants, reducing both the quantity and quality of the cotton produced. By controlling these pests, BT cotton ensures that a higher proportion of the crop survives to harvest. This leads to increased yields and higher profitability for farmers. According to a study by Qaim and Zilberman (2003), farmers who adopted BT cotton in India saw an increase in yields by up to 30%.

# 3. Economic Benefits

In addition to reducing pesticide costs, BT cotton can provide economic advantages by improving the quality of the cotton harvested. Since the plants are less damaged by pests, the cotton fiber produced is of higher quality and requires fewer post-harvest treatments. This results in cotton that is cleaner and more valuable on the market. Furthermore, farmers who grow BT cotton tend to experience better pest control, leading to a more stable income due to reduced crop losses (Brookes & Barfoot, 2018).

# 4. Improved Cotton Quality

Cotton harvested from BT plants generally suffers less damage from pests, leading to cleaner cotton with fewer insect remains. This quality improvement has downstream benefits for the cotton industry, which values clean, high-quality fiber. Additionally, BT cotton plants are often more robust, exhibiting improved resistance to secondary pests that can further degrade the cotton's quality (Roush, 2003).

# **Challenges and Criticisms**

Despite its numerous benefits, BT cotton has faced several criticisms and challenges that must be addressed for its continued success.



#### 1. Pest Resistance

One of the primary concerns surrounding BT cotton is the potential for pests to develop resistance to the Cry protein. Over time, the population of pests that can tolerate the toxin may increase, reducing the effectiveness of BT cotton. This phenomenon, known as "resistance development," has occurred in some regions where BT cotton has been grown for several years (Gould, 1998). To mitigate resistance, farmers are advised to plant refuge areas with non-BT cotton, ensuring that susceptible pest populations remain in the environment and preventing the pests from becoming fully resistant (Tabashnik et al., 2009).

## 2. Environmental Impact

While BT cotton reduces the need for chemical pesticides, it is not without environmental risks. One concern is the potential impact of the toxin on non-target species, such as beneficial insects. Some studies have suggested that certain beneficial insects, including butterflies, may be harmed by exposure to the Cry toxin (Andow & Zwahlen, 2006). However, other studies have shown that the impact of BT cotton on nontarget species is minimal when compared to the broader effects of chemical pesticide use (Carroll *et al.*, 2003).

Additionally, the widespread adoption of BT cotton could lead to reduced biodiversity if farmers opt to grow monocultures of genetically modified crops, which can deplete soil nutrients and increase vulnerability to other pests (Hoffmann & Fitt, 2004).

# 3. Ethical and Health Concerns

The genetic modification of crops is a controversial subject, and BT cotton is no exception. While extensive testing has shown that BT cotton is safe for human consumption, concerns persist about the long-term health effects of consuming genetically modified foods. Regulatory bodies, including the World Health Organization (WHO) and the Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO), have declared that BT cotton is safe to eat (FAO/WHO, 2000). However, public apprehension about GM foods remains widespread in some regions, particularly in Europe.

# 4. Economic Challenges for Small-Scale Farmers

BT cotton seeds are often more expensive than conventional seeds due to patenting by biotechnology companies. This can create an economic barrier for smallholder farmers, particularly in developing countries where access to technology and financial resources is limited (Pray *et al.*, 2001). Additionally, the need to purchase new seeds each year—since BT cotton is a patented, nonreplicable crop—places financial pressure on farmers who may already face challenges in maintaining profitability.

## BT Cotton in the Global Market

Since its commercialization in the 1990s, BT cotton has been adopted in numerous countries, including the United States, India, China, and Australia. The crop has proven particularly successful in countries with significant cotton production, such as India and China. In India, for example, BT cotton has helped boost cotton production significantly, making the country one of the largest producers in the world. However, the widespread adoption of BT cotton has not been without controversy in India, where concerns about the socio-economic effects on smallholder farmers, as well as pest resistance, have arisen (Singh *et al.*, 2006).

In Africa, the adoption of BT cotton has been slower due to regulatory barriers, as well as concerns over its environmental and socioeconomic impacts. Nevertheless, several African countries, including South Africa, Burkina Faso, and Sudan, have begun to experiment with the technology. The African Union is considering the development of region-wide regulations to govern the use of GM crops, including BT cotton, to help farmers cope with challenges such as pest infestations and climate change (Wafula & Mrema, 2013).



Available online at http://currentagriculturetrends.vitalbiotech.org

## The Future of BT Cotton

The future of BT cotton is intricately linked to advancements in genetic engineering and pest management. As pest populations evolve resistance to existing BT crops, new genetically modified varieties are being developed to target additional pests and improve resilience. Researchers are also exploring ways to incorporate additional traits into BT cotton, such as drought resistance and increased resistance to other environmental stressors. As climate change continues to threaten agricultural stability, genetically modified crops like BT cotton could play a crucial role in ensuring food security.

Moreover, advancements in biotechnology, such as the development of "stacked" GM crops that contain multiple traits (e.g., resistance to pests, herbicides, and environmental stress), could further increase the sustainability and resilience of cotton farming in the future (Devos *et al.*, 2009).

# CONCLUSION

BT represents significant cotton a breakthrough in agricultural biotechnology, offering numerous advantages, including reduced pesticide use, higher yields, and improved cotton quality. However, challenges such as pest resistance, environmental impacts, and socio-economic issues must be carefully managed to ensure its long-term success. Continued research into genetic modification, integrated pest management, and sustainable farming practices will be essential for the future of BT cotton. With responsible use and ongoing innovation, BT cotton has the potential to play a vital role in global agriculture, contributing to the sustainability of cotton farming in an increasingly challenging world.

# REFERENCES

Andow, D. A., & Zwahlen, C. (2006). Assessing environmental risks of transgenic plants. *Ecology of Transgenic Plants*, 215-229.

- Brookes, G., & Barfoot, P. (2018). Global economic and environmental impacts of genetically modified (GM) crop use 1996–2016. *GM Crops & Food*, 9(2), 109-139.
- Carroll, M. W., Ahmed, A., & Harris, C. A. (2003). Environmental and economic impacts of genetically modified crops. *Journal of Economic Entomology*, 96(1), 10-17.
- Devos, Y., Reheul, D., & D'Hertefeldt, T. (2009). The use of genetically modified crops in agriculture: Environmental and ecological implications. *Science of the Total Environment*, 407(15), 4515-4525.
- FAO/WHO. (2000). Safety aspects of genetically modified foods of plant origin. Joint FAO/WHO Expert Consultation, 1-30.
- Firoz, S., Chen, M., & Uekusa, Y. (2013). Biotechnology in agriculture: Advances in genetically modified crops. *Plant Biotechnology Reports*, 7(4), 343-349.
- Gould, F. (1998). Sustainability of transgenic insecticidal cultivars: An ecological perspective. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 95(23), 15520-15525.
- Hoffmann, W. A., & Fitt, G. P. (2004). Potential impacts of transgenic crops on the sustainability of agro ecosystems. *Environmental Sustainability*, 2(3), 41-59.
- Huang, J., Qaim, M., & Smale, M. (2008). Agricultural biotechnology in developing countries. *Cornell University Press.*
- James, C. (2011). Global status of commercialized biotech/GM crops: 2011. ISAAA Brief No. 43. International Service for the Acquisition of Agri-biotech Applications.
- Nap, J. P., *et al.* (2003). The impact of genetically modified crops on

Current Agricultur Trends:

21(7), 819-824.

biodiversity. *Nature Biotechnology*, populations

populations. *Pest Management Science*, 62(5), 463-472.

- Pray, C., Naseem, A., & Qaim, M. (2001). The impact of Bt cotton in India. *World Development*, 29(3), 421-432.
- Qaim, M., & Zilberman, D. (2003). Yield effects of genetically modified crops in developing countries. *Science*, 299(5608), 900-901.
- Roush, R. T. (2003). Bt cotton and resistance management. *Insect Resistance Management*, 93-115.
- Schuster, D. J., *et al.* (2006). The effectiveness of BT cotton in managing bollworm

- Singh, S., *et al.* (2006). The impact of Bt cotton adoption on farm productivity in India. *World Development*, 34(8), 1459-1473.
- Tabashnik, B. E., *et al.* (2009). Insect resistance to Bt crops: Evidence versus theory. *Nature Biotechnology*, 27(7), 611-618.
- Wafula, J. A., & Mrema, E. (2013). Adoption of genetically modified crops in sub-Saharan Africa: Potential and challenges. *African Journal of Biotechnology*, 12(5), 428-437.